

SOCIALSTAPPEAL

Organ of the Revolutionary Communist Party

BRITISH SECTION OF THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL.

MOSLEY **EMERGES**

UNDER POLICE PROTECTION See Page Two

DECEMBER, 1947.

WHILE WORKERS ARE HOMELESS

Labour M.P. Gives Telling Facts

BY GEORGE NOZEDA

WHILE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF WORKING CLASS FAMILIES ARE SUFFERING FROM THE ACUTE HOUSING SHORTAGE, BUILDING LABOUR AND SCARCE MATERIALS ARE BEING USED UP IN LUXURY BUILDING.

Luxury hotels, shops, restaurants, and beauty parlours catering for a wealthy clientele are being granted licenses enabling them to spend fantastic sums on unessential repairs and re-decorations.

In the City of Westminster alone, licenses at the rate of £4,000,000 a year have been granted in the last few months. The greater part of these have been for the carrying out of unessential luxury building.

Some instances of the type of £2,160 was spent on the repair and work that has been licensed in re-glazing of the mirrors in their Westminster were cited by Mr.

Lever, Labour M.P. for Manchester He quoted the case of two small (Exchange), in the House of Commons recently. Among them, a license to the tune of £3,500 for repairs to a ladies hairdresser's £8,000. The Ministry of Works shop; £2,575 spent on "redecorat-ing" of a beauty and cosmetic saloon in New Bond Street; almost £4,000 spent on repairs for the salerooms of the Whisky firm, Johnny Walker and Co.; repairs amounting to £2,700 for a bunch of fashionable dressmakers and tailors in Conduit Street; and, a Mayfair florist's shop, in Berkeley Square was granted a license for repairs and re-decorating to the tune of

£8,000 ON LAVATORIES

The Piccadilly Hotel was licensed to spend £2,734 to clean and redecorate the outside of its premises. The Ritz Hotel spent, £2,150 for the same purpose.

Lane Hoyel which: "... was homes that are needed by hundreds castofaers had to suffer from functioning because of the old-fashioned coal-burning method of providing central heating, so they

were granting building licenses at the rate of £30,000,000 a year in Manchester, but "if the majorit, of that is for urgent work then I regret to say that I and other Manchester people are blissfully unaware of it", said the Labour

It is the Minister of Labour who

grants these licenses to those luxury establishments catering to the comfort of the wealthy. Instead of implementing a socialist plan for housing the workers and for the building industry as a whole, in-deed of making the needs of the badly-housed and overcrowded workers their first concern, the Labour Government shows more concern for the comfort of the rich than for the well-being of the working class. A policy Socialist planning—a policy which will give priority to the decent homes that are needed by hundreds has the same calculated effect as

Partition Pits Arab

Against Jew

The imperialist plan to partition Palestine has already led to bloodshed in Palestine and protest demonstrations throughout the Arab east.

Many have been killed and wounded on either side, following the three day general protest strike announced by the Arabs. Jewish shops have been set on fire and a tremendous bitterness reigns between the two peoples. Demonstrations against British and American imperialism have taken place in Syria, Egypt and Irak.

Students have issued the call Down With Russia" because of the support given by the Stalinist bureaucracy to the imperialist plan of partition. . The Government representing the Arab capitalists and landowners in Syria have used the situation to ban the Communist

Palestine:

Thus the partition of Palestine

clashes and bloodshed. An immediate step towards the solution for the Jewish workers of Palestine is to bridge the gulf between themselves and the tens of millions of eastern peoples, by renouncing Zionist dreams of domination.

IMPERIALIST SCAUTION

demand that while one Crossroads" * which analyses ex- Middle East and dominate both.

STOP LUXURY BUILDING Union E.C. Strikes Blows at Catering Workers Arbitration Aids the Employers

TRADE UNION MILITANTS HAVE BEEN STAGGERED BY THE OUTCOME OF THE SAVOY STRIKE. AFTER NEARLY A MONTH OF MILITANT ACTION - IN AN OFFICIAL STRIKE, THE WORKERS EMPLOYED AT THE SAVOY GROUP OF HOTELS LOST THEIR FIGHT.

The capitulation of the Union leadership to the Savoy management shocked trade unionists. But this shock was quickly followed by the action of the Union Executive in suspending Arthur Lewis, the Branch Committee, and then the entire District Committee of the Catering Section of the Union, pending an investigation into their actions preceding and during the strike.

The aim of the strikers as stated on the ballot paper and set out in the Report of the Court of Inquiry,

was as follows:
"As the Savoy Hotel Ltd., have refused to implement the decision of the National Arbitration Tribunal are you prepared to support an Official Strike sponsored by this Union, in connection with the victimisation of Brother Piazza, and to make certain that decisions arrived at in future by constitutional machinery are honoured."

Not only have the workers failed to achieve this aim-Piazza is out and is to remain out, that is the essence of the question-but, in addition, the Executive Committee of their Union has accepted conditions of a return to work such as have not been accepted in any strike in recent times, more especially following an officially sponsored strike.

The conditions of return as stated by Mr. Crane, a national officer of the Union, and reported in the "Daily Herald," are as

"Fifty per cent. of the workers go back the first week, and twenty-five per cent the second week....Others will go back as and when opportunity affords. Those with longest service will return first."

"agreement" with the Savoy Management, if one can call an agreement, is not only a comthe issue on which the strike was fought; but it seems that the return to work on the part of the strikers will be regulated in such





Lever instanced the Park annarently distressed because its customers had to suffer from juni-rationing because of the oldfashioned coal-burning method of providing central heating, so they ordered a brand new one burning dollar-costing oil: a little matter of £3,971. While on the job, they also repaired the ballroom for £396. The Criterion Restaurant, on the other hand, apparently suffered from some lack of cold, and put in a nice new cold room, for £1,500, again with the Minister's permission. While on the job, they got licenses for £3,000 or £4,000 to put in new concrete floors and a particularly choice item, £1,000 to

Socialist planning—a policy which the luxury building for the capital- wrote : ists be carried out.

But this is only a part of the problem. What is needed is a socialist plan in the building industry which would place the allocation of labour and materials under the control of committees of workers and technicians in the industry, workers' committees which would plan housing and building on put their staircase in order. . . ." the basis of the needs of the work-At the office premises of Odeon ing class and in the interests of a Cinemas Ltd., at Devonshire House, | socialist economic plan as a whole. | * R.C.P. Publication. Price 6d.

Thus the partition of Palestine will give priority to the decent homes that are needed by hundreds has the same call the effect as is what Labour workers ex- "Socialist Appeal" this inevitable Dected of the Labour Government.
The organised Labour movement pamphlet 'Middle East at the mu't demand that while one Crossroads' * which analyses ex-

TROTSKYISTS WARNED

a temporary short-lived postponement of Zionism's burial. Arabs will only be involved by this plan in terrible sacrifices,

SCAUTION the partition is

to play off the Arab masses against the Jews in order that imperialism may retain its hold over the Middle East and dominate both. American and British imperialism worker is living in insanitary or or haustively the problems of the overcrowded conditions, no work on Middle East, Comrade T. Cliff have joint responsibility for this policy. The Stalinist bureaucracy, playing the game of power politics, has given full support to the vivisection of Palestine. This is in "Even if this 'solution' is absolute contradiction with the arrived at . . . it will be only policy of Marxism and even of the policy pursued by the bureaucracy itself in the last decades. The Jews of Palestine and the Obediently tailing at the heels of the Kremlin, the British "Communist" Party has done another 180 degrees somersault, and come out for the partition of Palestine.

DIVERTS REAL STRUGGLE

Partition of Palestine is reactionary from every aspect—neither the Jews nor the Arab masses have anything to gain from it. It bits Jew against Arab, diverts the truggle against imperialism into struggle between those whose common interest it is to struggle against imperialism. It plays into the hands of the Arab landowners and capitalists by diverting the attention of the Arab peasants and

The only solution to the problem of Palestine and the Middle East is the scrapping of the imperialist plans of partition, the amediate and complete withdrawal of all troops from Palestine and the Middle East. There can be no real independence or safety for the Jews or Arabs in partitioned

French Strike:

ROLE OF THE WORKERS' P

After three weeks, the big strike movement of the French working class has been called off by the leadership of the C.G.T.

Whilst the Government's proposals, which were accepted by the National Strike workers from their exploiters. Committee, are not the original demands of the strikers, the workers have won important economic concessions. The Government has delayed its wage-free ing plans until June 1st and will hold an immediate conference to discuss wages and prices. A monthly living allowance of 1,500 francs (£3) is to be given to all workers; family allowances will be increased by 22 per cent.

Though limited by its leadership, this great movement of the French working class shook French capitalist society from top to bottom.

Following the complete collapse of the Ramadier Government in face of the strike movement, the new Schuman Government introduced a series of vicious antilabour and anti-strike decrees, far worse than those introduced by the Tories following the British General Strike of 1926. By this means, with the arrests of hundreds of strikers, and the creation of a panic atmosphere for the purpose of placing troops in advanced positions, the Government seeks to intimidate the workers and hamstring them for the future. By this same means Schuman prepares the way systematically for the coming to power of de Gaulle.

Strikers' Demands

The immediate demands of the strikers were for a minimum wage of 10,800 francs per month, and a three-monthly adjustment of wages to the cost of living. These were the demands launched by the National Committee of the Confederation Generale du Travail (French T.U.C.) at its meeting in

The present minimum wage of the French workers is 7,000 francs per month (legal equivalent of £14). Faced with prices more than four times higher than in February 1945; with fares increased by 25%; gas and electricity increased prices of all commodities, the sold at such fantastic prices as to the workers to buy.

French worker cannot possibly live on former wage standards. mass of unskilled workers are starving, and astounding proportions of their children fall victims to T.B. and other diseases.

French Workers' Standards

Although French production has reached, and in recent months surpassed, the level of 1938, the conditions of the French workers have steadily deteriorated.

Children only have been given small amounts of fresh milk-and even this is irregular and in some cases has stopped altogether.

Butter, sugar, wheat, meat, by 45% in the last month alone; cheese and eggs, have in some cases faced with a systematic rise in the disappeared from the market, or are than plenty) is too expensive for luxurious meals in Europe can be

make them only purchaseable by | Side by side with the poverty of Unable to cause further disruption, in such small amounts as to be almost ineffective. Bread is mostly composed of

MARSEILLES - STRIKE - BREAKING TROOPS

UNLOADING AT THE DOCKS

corn-flour and potatoes. Fruit (of which France has more

the wealthy classes, or are rationed the workers, one can see luxurious and frustrated in their attempt to meat, eggs, butter, cheese, and almost any food desirable, can be restaurants, where any amount of obtained. Probably the most

(Continued on Page 4.)



Oxford Fascists Attack R.C.P. Meeting

Trotskyists Call for Labour Defence Guard

Oxford, a pre-war stronghold of Mosley's B.U.F., has been witnessing a considerable revival of Fascist activities during the last few weeks.

support from the recently demobilised officers and from the mosting Despite the fact that the gilded youth," fresh from the leading Oxford fascists are wellpublic " schools.

student life and irritated at the Labour Government's half-hearted privileges. Emboldened by the proing class organisations and their the "appeals" to the capitalist state, with its capitalist courts and police force to "BAN FASCISM" Mosley's thugs launched their most ploring any ban on Fascism, the brazen move to date, when on their forces to attack an open Trotskyist meeting.

assembled in an Oxford hall to com- class and minority political parties memorate the October Revolution, were being addressed by Comrade premises, upsetting the literature water resolutions. stall and throwing bags of pepper into the audience. In the scuffle that followed one woman trade unionist sustained a slight eye

The fascists were cleared out of the meeting by the several of whom succeeded in locking the outer college gates, preventing an eruption into the hall of several lozen fascists waiting for a signal in the street outside. Cries of the figl Labour Government!" were heard. break up the rally, these gentlemen

The meeting continued enthusiastically in good order and means of combatting it. A worker- a more militant and democratic proved a great success in every

The movement draws its main | The police, summoned by the known to them, no arrests were These gentry are annoyed at the effected. We do not doubt, howpetty restrictions of post-war ever, that had Mosley been guardians of law and order"

This last piece of provocation Union of the notorious motion de-November 27th, they mustered the local Stalinist book-shop, and goats for the failure of the strike. the daubing of city walls with anti-semitic slogans. These acts constitute a serious warning to all trade 50 workers and students, unionists and members of working or groups in Oxford or el ewhere.

Jock Haston, General Secretary of immediately to protect working the R.C.P., when the hall lights class meetings and premises. The were suddenly fused from without, fascists cannot be fought effectively fascist hooligans bursting into the with pious protests and milk-and-out.

> An appeal has been issued by the Oxford Trotskyists for the formation of a Joint Defence Guard of local Labour organisations. This appeal has met with a sympathetic response amongst the best elements of the local Labour and Stainist parties. The Trade Union students of Ruckin College have taken the first step in the right direction in setting up an anti-Fascist committee to organise the fight against the Fascists in

The Committee has called a mass meeting to which the Trotskyists and all other sections of the Labour movement were officially invited, to protest against the fascist outrages, fusion, splitting and weakening the to educate the local militants in the | Catering workers. The task is to nature of fascism, and to discuss fight inside the existing Union for student defence guard protected policy. this meeting.

Savoy Management, ii one can can it an agreement, is not only a comolete victory for the employers on the issue on which the strike was fought: but it seems that the return to work on the part of the strikers will be regulated in such a way as to ensure that the management of the Savoy will be able to select within fairly wide limits, those whom they want back. It appears that many of the most militant trade unionists will not be e-employed, and that scabs will take their jobs.

A question which is being widely asked among trade unionists is: now does it come that after two successful "unofficial' strikes, the irst of which took place when only a few hundred members were in the Catering Branch of the Union, and which forced the employers to recognise the trade union, that an "official" strike, backed by the London Trades Council and receiving solid support from London trade unionists, has ended in such an ignominious failure? And well may it be asked. For the effects of the failure of this "official" strike will be felt in the Union for many a day to come.

Why The Defeat?

In our opinion, it is because the top leadership of the Union was forced into support of the strike the unwillingly: gave no real support to the strikers once it had broken would have been present in sub- out, but on the contrary, seized pin-pricks to their class pride and stantial numbers from the outset, every opportunity to block the to protect the fascists from the militant will to fight of the longed passivity of the local workwho, faced with a militant leader purely verbal protests, amused at has come as a climax to a whole ship in the district organ of the series of fascist activities—the Union of which they were nervous "highlights" of which have been the and to whose policy they were passing, through the Tory-packed opposed, took the first opportunity afforded by an adverse decision of the Industrial Court to capitulate to the employers and seek scape-It is evident from a study of the

Report of the Industrial Court that whereas the representatives of the Savoy fought tooth and nail and took plenty of time before the Tribunal to state and fight for their case, the Concrete steps must be taken officials took considerably less time, they lacked the fighting spirit that characterised the actions of the employers' representative through-

> It is freely alleged and generally agreed among the militants who were on strike, and those who supported them in other hotels, that the National Executive "sold out." Confidence in the Union has been considerably undermined. question of separate recognition by the T.U.C. of the Catering Branch of the N.U.G. & M.W., and in the most extreme cases, even of a separate union, have been raised.

But the policy of setting up a Separate break-away union has no support in the London leadership of the Catering workers, nor yet among a considerable section of the best militants. Such a policy would be both futile and reactionary and could only lead to con-

(Continued on Page 4.)

Comrades:

We are nearing our target. We have £86 out of the £100 required. We hope that our readers will make a special effort to raise the balance before the end of December.

The R.C.P. is playing a leading role in the anti-Fascist struggle. To be effective we must have our own van and loudspeaker. Mosley's hole in the corner meetings can be brought to the attention of the working class and mass opposition organised. Donations are urgently required. Send anything you can spare.

	£	S.	d.
Carried forward	54	1	10
Some North London			
Readers	1	0	0
Anti-Fascists in			
London	25	16	8
Croydon Raffle		8	0
Rushcroft Road		3	3
H.H.		10	0
Betty C	WE S	5	0
"Fish and Chips"	2	0	0
Yorkshire Brickie		5	0
S. Wales		2	6
North London		2	0
	£86	14	3

Self COAL PRODUCTION UP Needed For the Van and Loudspeaker COAL PRODUCTION UP Miners' Loyalty to Government BY ROY TEARSE

The miners are again in the news. On this occasion they are the subject of backslapping and flattering words of approval from the Government, the Coal Board and the Press. These workers who so recently in Yorkshire, Scotland and South Wales were targets for abuse from these very same people when they were fighting for their rights, are at this moment being held up to the nation as an example in the production

That the miners are making remendous efforts and sacrifices there can be no doubt. True, the extra hours are making a differagainst man-power wastage (net not the only explanation.

Reason For Miners' Efforts

A reason of first importance is the basic loyalty of the miners to the Labour Government, whose words on the need to work harder "to benefit the working class" they take as good coin, and in whom they still place their confidence to lead working masses from the miseries of capitalism and towards Socialism. That the Labour "leaders" recognise this loyalty is shown by the statement of Gaitskel, Minister of Fuel, speaking at Gravesend: "Can anyone outside an asylum really believe that the

which even gives the possibility of response of the miners could have labour unrest at a time when the Government's target of been anything like this under efforts of miners were breaking 200,000,000 tons for the year being a Tory Government led by production records. They were still Sir: achieved.

Loyalty To Government

Scottish strike.

An Overhead Expense

the miners in the Labour Govern-

ment, the Coal Board would rapidly

learn that its prestige is low with

the miners. These workers con-

cannot last indefinitely. The

Labour leaders canot permanently

shelter behind this illusion to ex-

plain away their policy of state

Hollow Praise

Economist", (22/11/47) that

Unless the extended week results

if they decide to demand some re-

Like the movement of a weather

congratulations can

statement

capitalism in the mines.

Were it not for the confidence of

There is no section of the working class more solidly behind the ence to output, but when balanced Labour Government than the miners. Less than a year ago, recruitment only having recently during the fuel crisis of last winter, ceased to diminish), lack of vital miners in various parts of the equipment and other retarding country trudged miles through snow sider that the composition of the factors, it is clear that overtime is drifts to work voluntary Sunday Coal administration is an overhead shifts (unheard of previously in the expense the Labour Government is mining industry, as this is the day forced to bear. But these illusions when the safety men do their job). The manifestation of their fear of a return to Tory rule, and their loyalty to the present Government which they consider represents the interests of their class, is being emphasised in the present production effort.

Coal Board Seeks Credit

The National Coal Board, the child of the Labour Government, is in sustained output well above the basking in the sunshine of success'. The miners' efforts are the nation is paying heavily in overbeing used to demonstrate its time for very little more than the "value". But, let there be no mistake about it, the present level of production has been achieved despite the Coal Board and its

The statement of Bowman, vice-president of the N.U.M., that the National Coal Board has acted cock at the slightest breeze, the throughout as a model employer,' and of the N.C.B. that they "have shown in numerous practical ways their determination to improve the lot of the mineworkers. Only last week new wage improvements were negotiated with the N.U.M.", are shown to be hollow when one discusses this with the miners. In same contempt as they showed the private owners. The phrase, "they are the same old gang in disguise,"

can be heard in almost any mining when it has to be admitted, on November 15th, that "despite every effort, the labour force to-day The miners have not forgotten at it was this same Coal Board exodus of Bevin Boys. But why which was responsible for losing this exodus? It is axiomatic that hundreds of thousands of tons of coal through their policy of in-creasing "stints", without regard stick to their jobs. The truth is this same Board which refused to arduous of all industries. Workers negotiate with to N.U.M. because the Scottiah to are bad struck death and injury, and sweat their the Scottish to see had struck death and injury, and sweat their work in desperation against their guts out for a wage of little over promise "consideration" by the lackadaisical handling of their to two-thirds of the mining industry.

present

The miners canot be reasonably

Release the I.R.A. Prisoners!

The Editor, "Socialist Appeal." CENtral 1184.

4, Pump Court, Temple, E.C.4. 26.xi.47.

30 I.R.A. Prisoner in British Gaols since 1939.

May I beg of you to work for an Amnesty for these young men; or at least for a reduction of the 22 twenty year sentences which were conditioned by the circumstances of the time and are horribly severe ? If nothing be done these boys will remain in gaol until 1953 or 1954. Somehow I feel that Eight years' gaol is enough.

Out of loyalty to their friends all of the prisoners refused to plead, and were found guilty. Five have now sworn affidavits attesting their innecence in English law. If Mr. Chuter Ede, cannot see his way to give an Amnesty he has ample powers to deport the prisoners to Ireland under the Prevention of Violence (Temporary Provisions) Act 1939 which was specially introduced to deal with the I.R.A. Mr. de Valera cannot refuse to

as they are Irish Nationals. 29 want to settle down in the 26 counties where they will make excellent citizens.

Yours very truly, EOIN O'MAHONY, K.M.

Unless the Home Secretary intervenes the twenty year sentences will not be reviewed by the Home Office until 1951.

Editor's comment :

The R.C.P. gives full support to the demand for the unconditional release of these prisoners. However opposed we may be to individual terrorism and the methods used by the I.R.A., these prisoners are nevertheless sincere opponents of British Imperialism. Mosley and his B.U.F. thugs, who are the instrument of ruling class reaction, and who became agents of German imperialism during the war, have been released from prison. These I.R.A. prisoners who opposed British imperialism, no matter how mistaken their languish in jail.

Such a contrast under a Labour receive at least 28 of these 30 men Government is scandalous.

Visit to Austria

On the 30th of October I was paid a visit by a friend

Having formerly been a bitter am finished with the Communist

"Finished with the C.P."

GLASGOW STRIKE OF

Corporation Tradesmen

"Last week's coal output of

4,250,000 tons was itself a

fine tribute to the effort the

British coal miners are already

"That the miners can

deliver the goods, if they set

their hearts on it, is proved by

the coal output figures for last

With words such as this, the

entire national press has greeted

the recent spurt in production,

22/11/47)

week." (" Economist,"

making." (" Daily Herald,"

15/11/47).

BY D. GARBUTT

The strike of 2,500 Corporation Tradesmen has ended with a return to work on the basis that the Corporation Conditions and Service Committee will "consider" their demands for three week's holiday with pay and inclusion in the Sick Benefit scheme.

The strike was recognised by the Builders' Union ; National Executive of the A.E.U. was due to consider the Glasgow D.C.'s recommendation of recognition on December 2nd. There was a possibility that the other eight unions involved would also have given recognition to the strike.

Bus and Tram conductors and drivers had been blacklisting all vehicles repaired by blacklegs, foremen or semi-skilled labour. Picket lines had been maintained on all Transport depots where over 1,300 of the tradesmen work, and there had been a good respon e to

organised in April this year did the Labour Group agree to open up negotiations with the T.U. organisers. Even then, it required the threat of a 24 hour token strike before the negotiations commenced on June 5th. A reply to the claims was promised for July.

T.U. officials were parties to keepdecision was forthcoming and the workers voted for strike at a meeting on October 26th, 21 days' notice being given.

"Economic Suicide"

When the strike was immin

week was introduced. Despite the fact that the Conditions of Service lay down one days holiday for each month worked, the holidays have now been based on 88 hours (10 days) as against 96 hours (12

If there remained any doubt a to the attitude of the Labour Group it was dispelled when the City Council (controlled by the Labour Group) refused to hear a delegation of the strikers.

T.U. Officials Aid Corporation

The T.U. organisers, by failing to give a militant lead and preparing the workers for strike action aided the Corporation in its delaying tactics. And by advocating acceptance of the terms which only have sabotaged the strike just at

rapidly change into a campaign of abuse against the mining com-munity. Should the recent drive result in increased accidents, or prove impossible to maintain, the miners will once again be told they are "holding the nation to Yorkshire and in Scotland, the miners show the Coal Board the

N.C.B. Retarded Output

hat it was this same Coal Board creasing "stints", without regard to the miners' conditions. It was

Labour Force Still Low

It is of no use talking about the and a keen supporter of Stalinism, N.C.B. being a "model employer it was with a real interest that I asked him to sit down and give an account of the events as he experiis lower than it was at the end of enced them in Austria. that mining is still the most

questions:

had termed "a party of splitters".

to Vienna.

who had returned the previous day, by air, from a visit

opponent of Trotskyism-which he Party-here or on the continent." "Have you fallen out with the

"Listen. I will tell you how I was treated. I see my first Red Army man at the Austrian frontier; I go up to him and proffer a packet of cigarettes. It was pointed out to him that Taking the lot he turns his back what he had to say would be of on me. I tap him on the shoulder interest to others and might be and tell him in German that I was printed in the "S.A." He in the Communist Party for earnestly asked that as many as twenty-one years.

possible be given the real picture "I clap him on the shoulder and of what has happened and is call him Tovarish. He lays hold happening in the Russien wave of of my arm and shows mestowards my train and calls after me 'I am This is what he had to say to my war Tovarish of yours, I am a Russian! "

riam conductors and drivers had been blacklisting all vehicles repaired by blacklegs, foremen or semi-skilled labour. Picket lines had been maintained on all Transport depots where over 1,300 of the tradesmen work, and there had been a good respon e to the appeal for funds. The full impact of the strike could have been expected to make itself felt in the second week.

Labour Council Opposes Demands

The return to work without any guarantees was made under the pressure of the Trade Union bureaucrats, Allan of the A.E.U and others. It is fully in line with their activity in relation to these demands over the past two years. During this period the rank and file worker; have become disgusted with the delaying tactics of both the Union bureaucrats and the Labour Group which holds the majority on the City Council, Not until the Co-ordinating Committee was set up and a mass meeting

ing the proceedings secret. decision was forthcoming and the workers voted for strike at meeting on October 26th, 21 days' notice being given.

"Economic Suicide"

When the strike was imminent the Labour Group on the Town Council first challenged the possibility of conceding these demands on a local basis. They then refused to give any assurances that they would support the holiday demand in the Conditions and Service Committee, and stated that the Sick Benefit Scheme was "economic suicide."

So far as the Sick Pay scheme goes, out of about 40,000 employed by the Corporation only these 2,500 tradesmen do not benefit. holiday demand arises out of the National Agreement of the A.E.U. and Shipbuilders Federation in April 1946, which gave six days holiday with pay for statutory holiday. The demand is for these six days and for two days which were deducted from the usual 12

aided the Corporation in its delaying tactics. And by advocating acceptance of the terms which only promise "consideration" by the Corporation, these same officials demands. have sabotaged the strike just at the point when it promised to bring victory.

3 to 1 Vote

The vote to return to work was about 3 to 1. Had the Coordinating Committee not mistakenly accepted the advice of the officials and supported the recommendation, the strike would have undoubtedly been carried forward. But the last word has not been said. Whether officially recogniced or not, the need for a militant rank and file committee has been adequately shown. The Co-ordinating Committee must be maintained in existence, and every preparation made, for the next round in the battle, given the failure of the Corporation to meet the men's



250 copies of our "Open Letter to the C.P. and Y.C.L." were distributed at a large Y.C.L. meeting at the Kingsway Hall, and the reception was excellent.

More replies have been received from Trade Union branches asking for speakers to deal with the menace of Fascism. London comrades have been active in their Trade Union Branches and Trades Councils in rousing the workers to the dangers of anti-semitism and the growth of fascism.

Shop-keepers and factory workers in North and East London have contributed over £35 to our anti-Fascist fund for the loudspeaker Mineworkers in Yorkshire gave 25/-.

At Brixton our open air meetings have been a success. Some of our London comrades were active in preventing the fascists from holding a meeting at Hyde Park. Despite heavy police protection, the fascists could not hold their meeting. Ridley Road, where the fascists continue to hold meetings, though diminished in number, is covered regularly by our comrades selling literature and distributing leaflets.

YORKSHIRE-

Our York hire comrades are very active in covering the most important coalfields in the area despite bitterly cold weather. Over 1,000 copies of the "Socialist Appeal" are sold in the area by the comrades.

LIVERPOOL-

Branch active during the sea-

men's strike. Open air meetings of dockers and seamen have been held. Our slogans were chalked in dockland. The "Socialist Appeal" was well received. The "Catholic Herald" carried a leading article
"Seamen Good Case was Exploited by Trotskyists."

OXFORD-

The fescist attack on our meeting in Oxford was featured in the 'Oxford Mail." The fascist activity in Oxford has interested a student group in Nottingham in anti-fe cist work. Copies of our "Open Letter to the C.P.' appealing for a united front have been asked for.

MANCHESTER— Comrade Frank Ward spoke to an audience of 75 at a local meeting on the Russian Revolution. Over 120 attended our debate with the S.P.G.B. Members of the C.P. supported our comrades in the discussion.

GLASGOW-

Comrades are taking an active part in the local Youth Parliament. One comrade has written an article for their journal putting forward our policy for government. Com-rade Douglas Garbutt is now working in Glasgow as Scottish organiser. · Ourollie

SAVOY STRIKE-

London comrades aided the workers involved in the strike of the Savoy Group. All night picket duty was carried out and support was obtained in union Savoyand, Southain, East End, Hampstead. For particulars of these write to T. Reilly, London Organiser, R.C.P., 256, Harrow Road, W.2.

branches to back the strike. All meetings of London catering workers were covered with "Socialist Appeals" which were well received.

SUNDAY, December 21st at 7 p.m. "THE DIALECTIC OF REVOLUTION"

3rd Lecture in a series on Marxist Philosophy, held at R.C.P. Centre, 256, Harrow Road, W.2. Lecturer : E. Grant, Editor, "Socialist Appeal" A charge of 6d. will be made at the door. All welcome.

WEST LONDON BRANCH:

Study Circle-Tuesday, December 16th, at 7.30 p.m.

"R.C.P. POLICY AND THE THIRD LABOUR GOVERNMENT"

at the Chiswick A.E.U. and Trades Hall, 66, High Road, Chiswick, W.4.

Study Circles also held in Kilburn, North London, Ilford, South London, Croydon, Southall, East

paring the workers for strike action this same Board which refused to arduous of all industries. Workers negotiate with to N.U.M. because the Scottiah to use had struck work in desperation against their lackadaisical handling of their

Wage Increase Forced By Miners

"Model employer", indeed Bowman conveniently forgot, when he uttered these words after the recent wage cettlement, that the Coal Board feared that a refusal of the claim may have resulted in

"Union Movement."

on his " programme".

It is no different to the fascist demagogy of Mussolini and Hitler whom Mosley apes. The same Jew-baiting; the same totalitarian slavery with the destruction of the

Trade Unions and Labour organisa-tions and rights won by the British

Slavery Of Africans

working class.

do not find it attractive to risk death and injury, and sweat their guts out for a wage of little over £5 per week, which is the lot of two-thirds of the mining industry.

The miners canot be reasonably expected to continue indefinitely their present rate of sacrifice, with its effects on health and general condition. But what is being achieved by these sacrifices now, and more, could be obtained very readily by a bold programme of improvement of conditions to such 'Schutz-bund') for twenty-one years.

(Continued on Page 4.)

possible be given the real picture "I clap him on the shoulder and Europe.

This is what he had to say to my questions :

"Well," I asked, "how were you treated by the Russians?"

" My friend, I was in the Communist Youth of Vienna for nine years, and the C.P. (and the Let me tell you right now that I

are being given absolute freedom

and even protection, to prepare to

use of organised thugs.

The folly and stupidity of the

Labour leaders is incredible. They

have learned nothing from the

lesson of fascism in Italy and

course, give them vast police pro-

Already in Oxford, Bethnal Green, Bristol and Tottenham, the

premises of workers' organisations have been attacked. The protection

given these thugs has emboldened

them. Chuter Ede, Labour Home

Secretary, in reply to a question in

the House of Commons admitted

that 97 policemen and officers were

drawn from Bethnal Green and

other areas to protect Mosley's re-cent meeting in Bethnal Green. He

further added that "It was necessary to employ this number of

police to prevent a breach of the

peace being caused by opponents of

the meeting who, by means of a

loudspeaker, incited a crowd to

assemble near the premises, and

Like Mussolini in Italy,

organised violence."

tection against incensed workers.

of what has happened and is call him 'Tovarish.' He lays hold happening in the Russian rope of of my arm and shoves me towards my train and calls after me 'I am no Tovarish of yours, I am a Russian! "

"Your sister, how did she fare?"

"I hardly recognised her, she seemed afraid of her own shadow. She told me that when she and others went out on the streets to welcome the advancing Russians, they were robbed by the Red Army men of all ornaments, watches. and even shoes they were wearing.

Mosley Emerges With Police Protection " Many years-old Stalinists went anto the streets with flowers in hand to welcome their 'comrades' to be felled to the ground and in many cases raped.

"Male Stalinists who protested at the way the women comrades were being treated were arrested on the spot and sent to the Soviet Union. | Yet, he and his capitalist backers The officers spat on the flowers and trampled them underfoot." crush the workers' movement by the

"Had your sister any reason to dislike the Stalinists apart from these events?"

"Not a bit. She was a foundation member of the Y.C.L. and a life-long Communist. Now she Germany. The Labour, London County Council is allowing the fascists the use of halls. And, of is finished with politics for good.
Do you know, she and most of the
working people of Vienna do not dare to go out after dark. are taken off the streets and disappear without trace; no woman lare go on the streets after dark.

"I was told that only my British Legion badge saved me at the frontier when I spoke to the Russian soldier; no-one dares to hold a Red Army man in conversation."

"Meet any old friends?"

"I wanted particularly to see an old friend of my Y.C.L. days. He is too, a foundation member of the C.P. in Austria, I found that he holds a position in the Communist Party.

"He was glad to see me, and asked after my health, and kept on talking about my health until we there was reason to apprehend were alone in the office. Then he said to me: 'Comrade-You can forget the old days, they are gone for ever. All . . . is in my Party section now—the workers because of the terror police, and the former Nazis because they have found there is nothing to stop them using the Party as an alibi. Even I, an official of the Party, dare not open my mouth for fear of transportation to the Soviet Union; I wish to high heaven I was coming back with you out of this nightmare."

"Do you mind if this account is sent to the "S.A."? As a life-long Stalinist you have a duty to per-

"I am sick of the very name of leaders. No police protection for Communism now-but go ahead and repeat what I have told you: it is Demand full freedom for the the best thing, I suppose, to have

KILL OR BE KILLED!

After testing the reaction to the emergence of numerous

fascist groupings disguised under different names,

encouraged by police protection, Mosley is openly reviving

the British Union of Fascists under the name of the

The announcement of the formation of the "new" party,

to be launched in the new year, was made at a conference of journalists on November 28th, at which Mosley elaborated



Whereas before Mosley emphasised the idea that Britain and phasised the idea that Britain and the Empire must isolate itself for economic "autarchy", today he advocates the "union of capitalist Europe!" Recognising the weak-ness of British capitalism and the danger of economic collapse on the continent of Europe, Mosley puts forward the idea of a union of Europe based on the enslavement of the African peoples. In the Mosley "plan" "there will be no nonsense about 'trusteeship for the natives'" and 'negroes are to have no parity with -their white obsolete, if they did not "cooperate" with the fascists.

War On Russia

One of Mosley's main planks is for war against Russia. If he were in power, he would "send to Russia an ultimatum that she must accept the American offer to scrap atomic weapons and submit to inspection," which would be followed by a preventive war, if unaccepted.

No Opposition Tolerated

Mosley promised to suppress

The present Parliament would unlikely!

and their rights won in a hundred

be replaced by the corporate state modelled on Mussolini's two chambers. Instead of elections, there would be plebiscites like those run by Hitler where the voters would have the privilege of recording "yes" or "no". His Government would "resign" if de
"The Privilege of workers went into action against the fascists with the firm determination of "Never Again."

The Privile warkers went into action against the fascists with the firm determination of "Never Again." feated, but this of course, was most

Mosley's whole programme is directed against the working class, communism. Of course, like Hitler years of struggle. His aim is to sup- workers to deal with the fascists the truth put before any who still and Mussolini, starting with the Communists, all working class organisation and association of his organisations would be destroyed. opponents in the Labour movement, paganda.

Mosley is preparing the same strong-arm methods against the working class. The Italian workers have learned from 22 years of farcist slavery. When the fascists started their provocations in Italy once again recently, the workers gave the Government the ultimatum: Deal with the fascists or we take the law into our own hands. And splendidly the Italian

The British workers must give an ultimatum to the Labour the fascists against the workers.

J.F.B.

by TROTSKY

his influence.

contained in Trotsky's bio-

Carefully documented, we have

here a picture of Stalin as the

representative of the rising bureau-

The reaction that set in after

the war and the civil war, and the

failure of the revolution to extend

outside the borders of the Soviet

Union, led to a strengthening of the

conservative and right wing ele-

ment in the Bolshevik Party and the weakening of the left wing.

Self-Sacrifice To

Self-Betterment"

"In 1923 the situation began

communists from post to post,

cratic caste in Soviet society.

graphy of Stalin,

SOCIALIST APPEAL

Organ of the Revolutionary Communist Party,

256, HARROW ROAD, LONDON, W.2. Phone: CUN 2526.

EDITOR: E. GRANT. BUSINESS MANAGER: D. GRAY.

Tory Alternative

Churchill, in his Manchester speech of December 6th, outlined the "programme" of the Tory Party if returned to power. This speech marks the steady counter-offensive of the Tories since their recovery from the general election debacle of 1945.

The Tories are taking advantage of the failure of the Labour leaders to press home the offensive against capitalism, and their surrender to the capitalists in the austerity programme, while the profits of the great enterprises and combines grow larger. Concessions to the capitalists merely whets their appetite for more.

With clever demagogy, Churchill utilises the crisis of the very capitalist system he seeks to perpetuate. He warns of the "lowering of standards of food and comfort inconceivable in the last 50 years" if "Socialism" continues in power. The lowering standards arise only because a real socialist policy is not replacing the capitalist policy which has brought Britain to her present impasse. In reality, the decline of British imperialism has meant that the super-profits wrung from the colonial slaves of the British Empire will not be so great as formerly. The capitalists must extract this from the surplus value created by the labour of the British working class. This it is which must mean a drastic fall in the standards of the British workers.

Capitalist Incentive

Stripped of its verbiage, Churchill's only concrete proposal was to cut "National expenditure" by £500,000,000 a year. Where are these cuts to come from? Only from food subsidies and social services. The austerity programme of the Labour Government would be nothing in comparison with the slashes the Tories would introduce. The proposed cut in national expenditure would lead to the creation-even in the present period of boom-of a reserve army of unemployed which the paymasters of the Tory Party, the Federation of British Industries, longingly seek to establish. Even the "Economist," organ of "liberal" capitalism, would welcome an army of unem-

Kashmir Solution STAL

Freedom for the Kashmiri People!

BY D. DUTT

Reprinted from "The Spark," Indian Trotskyist Paper.

Kashmir once again proves that outside of the ending of the princely order there is no solution of the problem of the States' peoples.

ale-much to the advantage of asked for military help. British imperialism.

the appearance of imperialism once for driving out the invaders, for again in the role of an arbiter, a the restoration of the collapsing

mediator, a court of "justice."
The Government of Pakistan and India, as well as the Pakistan and of the fight in ship, it has come to light that regions along the Pakistan border and in Gilgit Province against

Once again the League and the official army to divert the popular Congress, the Pakistan and India revolt into communal channels. The Sovernments, are entangled in a Maharajah, who had been sitting on ght—this time not a wordy fight, the fence all the while, at once but a real one on a miniature acceded to the Indian Union and

Troops were rushed by plane for The ground is now prepared for the restoration of "law and order", rule of the Maharajah.

Whatever may be the outcome Kashmir between safe. the Congress press, have successfully the armies of Pakistan and India, obscured the real i sues. Through one thing is certain that the the iron curtain of press centor- people's revolt has been defeated for the time being, thanks to the there took place a revolt in the intervention of the governments of Pakistan and India.

Even though the methods of the he Maharajah. The League and the Pakistan and India governments Pakistan government sent an un- are different, the goal is the same:

the defeat of the peasant revolt, the smothering of the class struggle the continuation of the feudal

The League methods are the disruption of the ranks of the peasants in revolt by channelising the popular discontent along communal The Congress method is to lines. crush the popular revolt in the name of the defence of the minority in the name of law and order, in the name of expelling the invaders.

Notwithstanding the difference in methods, the common objective has been secured. The popular re volt is defeated, the Maharajah is

But the quarrel of the two government—Pakistan and the Indian Union-will continue over the question of Kashmir's accession. And for this the poor Kashmiris will be saddled with a new burden of taxes to meet the expenditure incurred for the military operations. The people, who have no right to hoose the form of government they wish to live under, will continue to be crushed under the weight not only of the feudal monarchy and its camarilla, but also of th modern military machine of the India Government.

The question of accession can be decided only by the people of Kashmir. This decision can be arrived at freely, only when the Dogra rule is eliminated. Within the framework of the Dogra rule under the aegis of the Maharajah, the people cannot have the right to decide freely their fate.

The talk of a responsible govern nent under the aegis of the Maharajah is a sham. It is really meant to cover the betrayal of the popular movement to the Maharajah by Sheikh Abdullah. From the slogan of "Quit Kashmir" to that of a Responsible Government under the aegis of the Maharajah. What

The road to freedom from the feudal incubus lies only through a consistent struggle against the nvading troops both of Pakistan and the Indian Union. Only when these invaders have been expelled and the despotic rule of the Maharajah has been ended, can the uestion of accersion arise.

Compel the Withdrawal of all Invading Troops (Indian and Pakistani) from Kashmir!

For the Ending of the Despotic Rule of the Maharajah!

Full Freedom for the Kashmiri

REVIEWED BY C. VAN GELDEREN STALIN by Leon Trotsky: An appraisal of the Man and (London: Hollis Carter, Ltd., 25/- net.) Stalin's emergence from obscurity to his present position of power is a phenomenen which never fails

The most complete answer to foreign policy has again come into this political conundrum is collision with that of Stalinist

On Guard Against The Interpolations

Two-thirds of the book had been completed. Large sections have interpolated, brackets, by the translator, Charles Malamuth, who has allowed himself quite a few political liberties against which the reader should be on his guard. Natalia Trotsky protested against this. writes of the "vaunted democracy of the Soviets' and of "the trend toward centralization, that sure precursor of totalitarianism", in order to prove that Stalinism is the inevitable outcome of Bol-shevism, its legitimate child. Needless to say, Trotsky goes to great to stabilise. The civil war, like lengths to prove precisely the the war with Poland, was opposite and succeeds in establishdefinitely in the past. The most ing the illegitimacy of Stalinism horrible consequences of the as the successor to Leninism. famine had been overcome, the Before Stalinism could evolve, N.E.P. had given impetus to a vitalising revival of national vitalising revival of national

Legend And Truth

from one sphere of activity to Trotsky devotes the greater part another, soon became the excepof his book to the period before tion rather than the rule. Com- Stalin reached his position of premunists began to acquire permanent positions. . . The question of apointments came to have more and more to do with full view of the world. But of his the question of personal life, more distant past little is known conditions of the except in the falsified and garbled appointee's family, his career. versions of the official Kremlin It was then that Stalin biographers—in many instances began to emarge with increasing drawn from sources upplied by prominence as the organiser, the Stalin himself. assigner of power, the dispenser

Haggling Over Germany

The Big Four meeting in London reveals the familiar pattern. between Anglo - American Imperialism and the Soviet bureaucracy for domination over Germany and Austria. That is the reason for the so-called obduracy of Molotov, and the skillful use of this by the capitalist press in Britain and America in order to line up the masses behind their own foreign policy.

Molotov showed that the Western Powers wish to turn Germany into a semi-colony and base for a future war against Russia, while backing the old Junker landlords and capitalists who organised the Nazis. Cynically, he pointed to the ruin and chaos which are the result of the policies of the Allies in the Western zones of Germany. The dismantling of consumer and other industries which could not be remotely connected with armaments, he charged, were dictated by the fear of German competition on the markets of the world once Germany had recovered from her present collapse.

The aims of the Allies have nothing to do with peace or democracy or the unity of Germany in the interests of the musses.

But the policy of the Soviet bureaucracy too is one of naked power politics. No more are they concerned with the interests of the German people. The cruel demand for reparations to the extent of £2,500,000,000 would impose enormous burdens on the already burdened German people.

Neither grouping is in the least concerned with the interests of the German and European peoples. Whether they succeed in arriving at a compromise, or whether this Conference breaks down as have its fore-runners, on the rocks of the antagonistic interests, cannot be in the interests of the peoples of Europe.

The working class must demand the withdrawal of all the armies of occupation in Germany. The German and European workers will fight for a United Socialist Germany as part of a United States of Socialist Europe as the only solution to the problems facing the German and European working class. Instead of restricting German production, it would be enormously increased for the benefit of all

to puzzle the bourgeois observer. Gifted neither with exceptional intellectual gifts nor with a marked ability as organiser and orator, how did this son of a Georgian cobbler succeed in taking the place of Lenin as head of the Soviet State, and moreover, with such power concentrated in his hands as Lenin neither LEON TROTSKY enjoyed nor aspired after?

the creation—even in the present period of boom—of a reserve army of unemployed which the paymasters of the Tory Party, the Federation of British Industries, longingly seek to establish. Even the "Economist," organ of "liberal" capitalism, would welcome an army of unemployed workers of a million to a million and a half to restore "incentives" to increase productivity by the workers. That is the only incentive for the workers that capitalism knows: the threat of unemployment to increase competition for jobs in order to compel the employed workers to accept low wages and intensified labour, thus increasing the profits of the capitalists.

When Churchill talks of "incentives" to all classes, he means yet further scope for profit-making for HIS CLASS at the expense of the workers.

Churchill even demagogically calls for a reduction in the armed forces. He believes the workers have short memories. Did the Tories object to the evacuation from India, which might have led to a war with India? They have always justified the swollen expenditure on arms as "necessary" in the "national interest", which contrasted with their attitude on the "wasteful squandering" of public funds on old age pensions, food subsidies, etc., which they claimed the country could not afford.

The Real Alternatives

Churchill warns that if the Tories do not replace the present Government "at least a quarter of all alive today will have to disappear in one way or another." 12,000,000 of the population will be redundant, he predicts. This is in reality a warning of the fate of Britain IF CAPITALISM SURVIVES. The present standard of life has been maintained only by American loans in the period of boom. But under the capitalist system, slump rapidly follows boom and this will make an increase in living standards impossible. The British capitalists will turn to a fascist fuehrer to destroy the rights and liberties of the working class and forcibly impose drastic cuts in their standards. This is the real meaning of Churchill's talk of "setting the people free" and allowing the economic laws of capitalism to operate "normally."

If the Labour leaders operated a revolutionary socialist programme, the outlook would be transformed overnight. The abandonment of the policy of state capitalism, the expropriation of the capitalists, with DEMOCRATIC CONTROL in the hands of elected committees of workers and technicians would lead to a real planning of Britain's production in the interests of the people instead of the capitalists. With economic and political control firmly in the hands of the masses, production would expand, providing the possibility of freedom from want. For the first time, there would be real democracy and freedom in Britain.

The alternative is not, as Churchill would have us believe: Labour reformism and state capitalism versus capitalist freedom. The real alternative is capitalist exploitation and tyranny versus workers democracy and workers control.



German Workers Dismantling the Vast Machinery of Krupps' Factory, Essen

from LEFT and RIGHT

BY AGITATOR

ONE of the most embarrassing chapters of the history of international Stalinism is the role of the German Communist Party in relation to Hitler's triumph. The C.P. leaders, in consequence, seize every opportunity to obscure the real facts. Just such an opportunity is provided by a characteristically confused and irresponsible editorial in the "Socialist Leader" of November 29th.

In a contribution headed "Distortion is an art," in the "Daily Worker" of 2nd December, J. R. Socialist Leader" "prefers to spread the legend that the slogan of the German Communists in the struggle against Fascism was 'After Hitler, our Turn'." Such state ments, says J. R. Campbell, can deceive many young workers who have recently come into the move-He then proceds to advance his own particular brand of distortion.

The German C.P. did not put this forward in the form of a slogan. But the content of the policies pursued by the Comintern 'After Hitler be given, for example, to the words | direct the chief blow against social

CAMPBELL THE ARTIST | of Remmele, one of the three top | democracy." And this theme was P. leaders, in the Reichstag, on October 14, 1931:

"We are not afraid of the Fascist gentlemen. They will shot their bolt quicker than any other government."

Campbell continues: ourden of all those articles and nanifestos was how the workers y correct tactics, could prevent Hitler from coming to power." Here is a sample of these 'correct tactics" as laid down by Manuilsky in his report to the Eleventh Plenum of the Comintern:

"The social democrats," he explained, "in order to deceive the masses, deliberately proclaim that the chief enemy of the working class is Fascism. . . . Is it not true that the whole theory of the 'lesser evil' rests on the presupposition that Fascism of the Hitler type represents the chief enemy?"

For the Comintern at the time "chief enemy" was not the Fascism of the Hitler type", was the Social Democrats whom they branded as "social fascists, the moderate wing of Fascism' Only six months before Hitler came to power the 12th Plenum our turn.' What other meaning can | declared: "The C.I. must therefore

played in every key by the leaders of the German C.P. who went to the extent of joining with the Nazis in Prussia in a Referendum to oust the Social Democratic Govern-

Trotsky, on the other hand, who called for a united front of the C.P. and Social Democrats against the Hitlerites, was for this himself denounced as a "fascist." Thus, Thaclmann, in a speech to the 13th Plenum of the C.I., September,

"In his pamphlet on the ques tion, How will National Socialism be Defeated?, Trotsky gives always but one reply: "The German C.P. must make a bloc with Social Democracy. . . . In framing this bloc, Trotsky sees the only way for completely saving the German working class against fascism. Either the C.P. will make a bloc with the Social Democracy or the German working class is lost for 10-20 years.

This is the theory of a completely ruined fascist and counterrevolutionary."

All this, and much more, is known to, but obscured by J. R. Campbell. How well he has

prominence as the organiser, the Stalin himself, assigner of power, the dispenser of jobs, the trainer and master show Stalin as the Old Bolshevik of the bureaucracy. . . ."
". . . . Stalin and others like him, whose role in the civil war

had been secondary, came now to the fore and headed the facit but nonetheless powerful transition movement from war to peace, from self sacrifice, to self betterment."

Thus, we saw the beginning of rystallication into a caste whose privileged material conditions of life became the basis of an entirely new Inion and in foreign policy.

Stalin Murdered Trotsky

To get his picture into true focus, Protsky not only had to devote ears of painstaking historical research, but he had to hack his way through volumes of official legends which have been created round the person of Stalin by the Stalinist bureaucracy. He was never able to complete the work. In May, 1940, a machine-gun squad attacked Protsky's home on the outskirts of Mexico City, led by a well-known nember of the Mexican Communist Party, Siqueiros, and in which 25 members of the Mexican Communist Party were implicated in the evidence brought forward in the trial of the assassin. This attempt to murder the exiled revolutionary leader proved abortive, but in August of the same year another hired assassin of Stalin struck him a mortal blow with an icepick. while he was actually at work on the manuscript. In the recently published book "Return To The Cross" written by the ex-Editor of the American "Daily Worker", Louis Budenz, he makes reference to his own part, as a member of he American Communist Party, in the preparations to murder Trotsky.

Natalia Trotsky has informed us that Trotsky had no inclination to write this book. He was planning to write a biography of Lenin and the history of the civil war. But because of his poor financial circumstances, he forced to put this aside and accept the condition laid down by the publisher, Harper Bros., to write a biography of Stalin.

Bourgeois Morality

An interesting example of the morality of the bourgeois democrats was provided by the events relating to this book before it was finally published. In its present form, it was printed and bound ready for distribution, when the American Government entered the war and made an alliance with Stalin. The book was suppressed at the instigation of the U.S. Government, this it is necessary to be acquainted only one copy being available in the with the social and political back Congressional Library. It remained ground of Czarist Russia at the suppressed during the whole period time. Here it is possible to deal learned that . . . distortion is an of the alliance with Russia, and is only now released when U.S. (Continued on Pa

have more and more to do with full view of the world. But of his the question of personal life, more distant past little is known tiving conditions of the appointee's family, his career. except in the falsified and garbled versions of the official Kamalia versions of the official Kremlin It was then that Stalin biographers—in many instances began to emarge with increasing drawn from sources cupplied by

Al the official legends purport to who supported Lenin from the very first and who has held steadfast to the Leninist course ever since. They paint Stalin as the real here of the October Revolution, the Civil War and the early year of Socialist construction. What the official biographies fail to explain is the fact that during the heroic period of the October Revolution, the rise of the bureaucracy and its from 1917 to 1924, Stalin's name was practically unknown to the great mass of the Russian workers and even to the rank-and-file of the colitical orientation in the Soviet Bolshevik Party. The great world outside Russia never even suspected his existence If Stalin had only accomplished one-third of the tasks accredited to him by the official historians in Moscow and by their imitators in other lands, he would have shared the legendary fame of Lenin and Trotsky. John Reed would never have been able to write his "Ten Days That Shook The World" with only a passing reference to Stalin.

Stalin joined the ranks of the revolutionary movement at the age of 18, when he was a Seminary student in Tiflis, Georgia. In 1904. a year after the split in the Social-Democratic Party of Russia, he joined Lenin and the Bolshevik faction. He became a professional revolutionary, working under illegal conditions organising party units in various / towns, editing party periodicals, writing popular leaflets and the hundred and one other tasks which fell to the lot of the revolutionary in those pioneer days of Russian Socialism. He spent many years in exile and in the dungeons of Czarism.

. Contempt For Theory

With all his activities and devotion to the movement, Stalin never rose to the position of an outstanding leader of the Party. He was incapable of theoretical thinking, and indeed, had a marked contempt for theory. He was the practical man', the man who felt at home on Committees, away from the masses whom he feared and for whom he held no attraction.

Co-opted To C.C.

Yet Stalin, at Lenin's in stigation was co-opted onto the first independent Bolshevik Central Committee in 1912. True, records show that Lenin's original proposal to have him co-opted met with strong opposition but eventually Lenin had his way. To the superficial observer there is a great deal to puzzle over in this move of Lenin's, Why should he insist on having this mediocrity serve on the highest

(Continued on Page 4.)



One has heard often enough that Churchill, although opposed to any restrictions of the power of the House of suggested Churchill has never deviated Ramsay MacDonald, who said in measures in 1911. But while from his support of British Imperialism, the Labour compatible with democracy.' leaders have betrayed the principles they affected to maintain in those days, as December 4th, which called for a restriction of the Lords' veto to was further borne out by the debates on the Parliament Bill restricting the Lords' veto.

On November 11th, there were two amendments by Labour backbenchers : one by Ellis Smith (Stoke) calling for an elected House of Lords with representatives from Employers' Organisations, the T.U.C., etc.; the other by Emrys Hughes (S. Ayreshire) stated:

"That this House declines to

FRENCH STRIKES:

Morrison Recalls Catering Militants STALIN His Youth Suspended Suspended Suspended Suspended Continued from Page 3.) After the defeat of the 1905 Sented a trend, a historical trend, His Youth

which merely slightly limits the power of the House of Lords and does not declare it to be a feudal anachronism, and a needless and useless encumbrance to a free community which ought to be

This was, of course, the traditional policy of the Labour move-We stand against a Second Chamber because it is in-

However, both amendments were dropped by the movers, and Emrys Hughes substituted another, on one month.

Another amendment was put forward by Messrs. Parker (Dagenham) and Mikardo (Reading) for a six months restriction.

It was left to Herbert Morrison to explain away any change of line, and this episode is a characteristic example of the hypocrisy dressed up in honeyed phrases in the best parliamentary tradition:

"Then (1911) the fairly small give a Second Reading to a Bill voted for the complete abolition was not pressed to the vote.

of the House of Lords," he said, adding, 'We all enjoyed ourselves in those days.' (!) He continued:

"'I say frankly that while in abolition of the House of Lordsbut it would have been a wonder if I had not—I am bound to say, in the light of my parliamentary experience, that I am doubtful whether it would work. . . . Having uttered these reactionary observations, though they em-brace the realities of the situation (!) we come to the point of whether this delay is to be one month or six months.' The movers of the latter were, he said 'Mensheviks', but Emrys Hughes he called 'the Bolshevik in the membership. case' and described his amendment as 'Bolshevism gone mad.'" (!!)

He appealed to them, in a "spirit draw their amendments. And sure enough, one gentle wave of the parental wand sufficed to make the Labour Party in this House ment was withdrawn, and the other other unions. The attacks upon was not pressed to the vote.

(Continued from Page 1.)

widespread. This is especially so for his militant strike leadership, as a consequence of the action of the Executive in suspending the officials of the Catering Branch who have been primarily responsible for my younger days I advocated the building that section of the Union up from two to three hundred I have no clear memory upon it, members into a membership of several thousands in the course of the last few years.

Arthur Lewis

The witch-hunting campaign against Lewis, the Branch officials and the District Committee by the National Executive clearly represents an attack by the Right wing against the Left wing officials, who are prepared to align themselves in militant action with

In the past 12 months Arthur Lewis has won considerable publicity and a wide measure of popularity among London trade unionists as a result of his militant of sweet reasonableness" to with- leadership and participation in the strike action of the catering workers. Lewis's leadership is to be contrasted to his favour with lefts" capitulate. One amend- that of officials in his own and

| his own Union at the very A feeling of disillusionment is before the Bow Street magistrate could only be interpreted as a stab in the back by militant trade unionists.

The Report clearly establishes that Lewis attempted to negotiate and find the basis of agreement with the Savoy, but was rebuffed on every occasion. Although the Court criticises him and other London District Union officials, for leading unofficial strike action, it was clearly established that until the workers had come out on strike, Lewis put the official Union line. But once the workers rejected further negotiation and insisted on strike action, however, Lewis aligned himself with the workers who were fighting, and sought to bring victory for the workers and

The rank and file must see that this attack on the militant London officials does not succeed by bringing pressure to bear on the National Executive, not only through the Catering section, but through all sections of the Union, by resolution. Although in the opinion of the writer, the Report of the Industrial Court is a biased one, every class conscious worker should buy a copy and study it carefully.

How Arbitration Favours The Employers

An examination of the Report confirms the repeated arguments of the "Socialist Appeal' that arbitration favours the employers. Here was a dispute commencing in March, dragging through various stages of the arbitration machinery over a period of about eight months before the workers had the legal right to go on strike. The employer had suspended and sacked a worker and disrupted the status quo. Although the decicion of the District Council and the National Arbitration Tribunal favoured the reinstatement of the worker, the employer had a perfectly legal right to sack him and to refuse his reinstatement. The law protected the employer.

the decision was in their favour, there was no legal means of enforcing it upon the employer. Even had the Court of Inquiry confirmed the finding of the District Council day. would carry out the decision. The fact that they withdrew their undertaking, given to the Minister of Labour, at the last moment, to

After the defeat of the 1905 sented a trend, a historical trend, Revolution a period of deepest reaction set in. The entire Socialist

movement, the Bolsheviks not ex-"We Have No People"

Describing the situation, Trotsky

cluded, began to disintegrate.

"Desertions took place not only among the intellectuals, not only among those who were here whom the movement was but a been part and parcel of the reviewers. But is it far-fetched? Party for years. . . ."

"'We have no people at all," Krupskaya (Lenin's widow-C.V.G.) wrote in invisible ink to places of exile. . . .' The scantiness of revolutionary ranks

Part Of The Machine

With no first-rate leaders availthe second-raters. Of these Joseph undoubtedly the first. In periods of reaction, when the masses are emporarily off the stage of history, the importance of the Committee s comparatively increased. Within he Committee, Stalin felt sure of himself, in his element. He was part of the machine. Later, as the creature of that machine, he was to rise to the dizziest heights of

Stalin's Role In October

Stalin's record in 1917, before the April, destroys finally the current myth of his staunch and unflinching Leninism. As a leading member of the editorial board of "Pravda", the official Bolshevik organ, he placed himself unreservedly on the side of those who gave unconditional support to the Provisional Government. He also raised no criticism of an article by Kamenev which called on the Russian soldier to "staunchly stand at his post, Meanwhile, it was illegal for the workers to go on strike without salvo for salvo.' This defencist workers to go on strike without salvo for salvo.' This defencist going through this whole arbitration stand of "Pravda" seriously conmachinery, and at the end, though fused the advanced workers. Needless to say, this episode-a not accidental one-in Stalin's career -has been carefully concealed by the Party chroniclers of the present

In a closely documented account, Trotsky demolishes the current fairy tales about Stalin's role ness of Russia and the fact that during the October Revolution and the revolution was isolated in one

they were prepared to keep Piazza Trotsky. He first emerged out of struggle did not cease with the

and not because of any personal

Lenin never recovered from that illness. His last act was to break off all personal and comradely relations with Stalin and to urge his removal from the post of General Secretary of the Party.

Did Stalin Poison Lenin?

Lenin never recovered . . . Trotsky puts forward the theory today and gone tomorrow and to that Stalin poisoned Lenin. No documentary evidence exists and halfway house, but even among this charge has aroused incredulity the advanced workers, who had in the circles of bourgeois

Old Guard Wiped Out

Of Lenin's Central Committee, the Central Committee which led Odessa, at the beginning of 1909. the Russian workers to victory in All are scattered in prisons and 1917, only two remain alive today-Stalin himself, and Kollontai, led unavoidably to the lowering of the Committee's standards. . . '" standards. . . . '" lower either murdered by the GP.1. former Soviet Ambassador to in the monstrous purges, or ariven to their death or, as in the case of Trotsky himself, fell to the blows ble, Lenin turned of necessity, to of Statin's assassin. Is it so incredible that Stalin, who disposed Djugashvili, the future Stalin, was of the entire Bolshevik Old Guard, who sent to their death his closest comrades in arms, would have hesitated to remove Lenin from his path to power?

Lenin's wife, Krupskaya, who was in the Trotskyist opposition to Stalin and the bureaucracy in 1926, said that had Lenin lived, he too, would have been in one of Stalin's jails.

However, in a book which in every other respect is documented and historically proven, this inurrival of Lenin in Petrograd in ference regarding Stalin poisoning Lenin might have been better left out. It is the one point which has been seized upon by all the bourgeois critics to detract from the historical and theoretical content of the work, and to give a "personal" and "psychological" explanation for the conflict between Stalin and Trotsky.

Not A Personal Squabble

Historical conditions gave Stalin the victory over Trotsky. Bourgeois critics like to think of this as a personal squabble for power. In fact, it was a political struggle, a fight to the finish between the forces of revolution and counterrevolution. Stalin represented the interests of the rising bureaucracy which thrust aside the proletariat and asserted the control of the millions of officials. They sprang ness of Russia, and the fact that his record during the Civil War. country. Their aim was to con-Less Than One Per Cent solidate their rule over the masses and to guarantee their own social accept any recommendation from the Court, taking into account all their earlier actions, indicates that numerous articles and books of Stalin was triumphant. But the

ROLE OF THE WORKERS' PARTIES (Continued from Page 1.)

obtained in France-provided one has the money. Speculators and legitimate demands of the workers. black-marketeers function openly with callous indifference to the poverty of the workers and poor peasants, and without regard to native the police and the Government (who miserably collaborated with the in reality aid them).

"Strike Is Weapon Of The Trusts"

It is against these conditions that the striking workers of France were fighting. For three years the "Communist" and "Socialist" leaderships of the working-class movement have tied the hands of the workers in their struggles to played the most disgraceful and improve conditions. For three years the C.P. has told the workers that the "strike is a weapon of the - trusts", that the first task of the French workers was to sacrifice and is from this so-called "Socialist" The Fourth Republic, ushered in obtained its ingenious "Freedom

Afraid of the totalitarian

policies of Stalinism, and incapable of developing a revolutionary alterthemselves, they have capitalists and de Gaullist agents working class under cover of the so-called "Third Force". Utilising the Stalinist exploitation of strike movements as weapons in Russian foreign policy, the reformist leaders attempted to discredit the great strike, movement of nearly half of the French working class.

The socialist tendency in the trade unions led by Jouhaux (prewar leader of the C.G.T.) treacherous role. This "Force Ouvriere" (Workers Strength) tendency, as it is called, was one of the chief agents in organising back-to-work movements. It "increase production first of all." tendency that the Government yesterday opposed strike action.

Stalinists Limit The Movement

In addition to this complete absence of leadership (a National Strike Committee was formed two capitalists and described against the weeks after the strike had become working class under cover of the general), the Stalinists limited the movement to purely economic demands, when the logic of the situation posed the problem of power before the workers. By this policy the Stalinists have prevented the working class from waging a decisive struggle under the most favourable conditions for success. It is this policy of Stalinism and its former policies of treachery, which explain the confusion and disorientation of the workers in the present strike sive against the masses. That is Large sections of the workers, the meaning of the visit of J. F.

The British "Daily Worker", the next period. slogan with which it (December 8, 1947), in big head-

though in support of the strike demands, have no confidence in the Stalinist leadership, which only Dulles, and priming to Dulles is vetting and priming to propose in

opposition and would have been prisonment, if they had seen the compelled to surrender to the possibility of a real alternative.

Depending upon the way in which the movement develops the capitalists will change their strategy. In the meantime, the draconic anti-labour with legislation at their disposal, the capitalists will push further and further in a reactionary direction-perhaps exchanging Schuman for someone even more to the Right, and closer to deGaulle.

In the next few months they will purge the State apparatus, the police and the army of all elements who show the slightest sympathy for the working-class; they will strengthen the reactionary bands of de Gaulle and more than ever and of the National Arbitration supply him with the necessary Tribunal, there was still no legal supply him with the necessary funds and materials for an offen- means of ensuring that the Savoy Gaulle for his coming to power in

years the C.P. has told the workers that the "strike is a weapon of the -trusts", that the first task of the French workers was to sacrifice and "increase production first of all." The Fourth Republic, ushered in by the leaderships of the Communist and Socialist parties in collaboration with the capitalists, far from bringing good conditions for the workers has, because of the capitalist control and ownership of industry, brought the workers to insufferable conditions.

Workers Ahead Of Leaders

Instinctively, the most advanced elements of the working-class, and particularly the rank and file members of the C.P., have understood that the struggle against these conditions is the struggle against the capitalist government and its replacement by a workers' and peasants' government. They have understood that not only are elementary needs of the workers at stake but, above all, the whole future of French society is at stake; that once a struggle against the Government is commenced on the present scale, it can only be victorious by drawing in the entire working class. They are forced by the logic of events to struggle to make themselves masters of society.

This was shown by the seizure of mines, factories, post-offices and in some cases whole villages, where the strikers formed their own committees for food distribution, maintenance of order and control of the life of the town. Even in Paris, the area strike committees had begun to organise the distribution of food to the families of the strikers.

In St. Etienne the workers, with the assistance of the miners, sealed all roads and occupied the most important factories.

In Marseilles the workers erected barricades and flew the red flag over factories and docks.

All these incidents are symptomatic of the desire of the vanguard of the French working class to smash the policies of class collaboration and to begin the struggle for workers' power and socialism.

Socialist Party Policy

In this situation, the policy of the Socialist Party (S.F.I.O.) is one of complete betrayal of the interests of the working class. Following the support of its rightwing leadership for de Gaullist candidates in the Municipal elec- disposal in the cities. tions, its national leadership and parliamentary faction have perpetrated an even greater betraval. They united with the capitalists to pass the vicious Schuman antilabour legislation.

at their disposal the leadership of the Socialist Party and its tend- of the troops refused to take action | policies which led to the crushing ency in trade unions (led by against the workers. Sections of organised breaking and attempted to break other sections threatened to take ing battle to the death, the policies the strike movement. Without the support of Jouhanx and Blum, the sections would have risked violating working class organisations merely

Ouvriere" (Workers Strength) tendency, as it is called, was one of the chief agents in organising back-to-work movements. is from this so-called "Socialist" tendency that the Government yesterday opposed strike action. obtained its ingenious "Freedom to Work" slogan, with which it tries to cover blacklegging and strike-breaking. Reality shown that under the guise of "No Politics"-which was the policy recently adopted by a special conference of the 'Force Ouvriere"-Jouhaux carries out the politics of struggle is limited to economic the capitalist class.

Split Of Socialist Youth

has already led to a split away of be proudly acclaiming they reject the Socialist Youth, who are dis- as a calumny ! cussing fusion with the Parti Communiste Internationaliste (French policy of sabotage could play so only adds grist to the mill of de Section of the Fourth International). It has also led to a ists, and so undermine the struggle group of 34 leading members of the of the workers as the policy of the continuation of the present S.P. circularising a Manifesto Stalinism. The capitalists have regime. against the policy of class collaboration and betrayal, and the formation of a left-wing, called the 'Revolutionary Socialist Action"

Stalinist Adventurism

adventuristic and irresponsible role the workers at the first opportunity. in these events. They have played This possibility is given to the with the working class as a tool to capitalists only by the policies of exert pressure in the interest of Stalinism, which could rally the the Stalinist bureaucracy in its whole of the working class and poor struggle to obtain concessions from peasantry in a struggle for power American imperialism.

They extended the movement without preparation, without real leadership, and without any real concrete aims.

Instead of calling a general Strike simultaneously throughout France, with concrete and clear objectives and with careful preparation, the Stalinist leadership of the C.G.T. have wasted and exby guerrilla activity-where each trade union controlled by the C.P. called out its membership halfheartedly and in a completely unorganised manner. In nearly every ease a minority (in some cases a majority) of the workers took to tive conditions for an easy success. strike action long before the union In the most terrified fashion, the officially called for it.

Had the C.G.T. organised a general strike, with thorough preparation and objectives clear to all workers, the capitalists would have been completely paralysed. It would have been impossible to move troops and police on a large scale, and the capitalists could not have relied upon the small forces at their

A co-ordinated campaign to win power to de Gaulle. the worker-soldiers and the police to the side of the strikers would have won big sections. Despite the complete absence of any real appeal, or any objective which could With all the power and resources appeal to the troops and the police, out of sheer class instinct sections

tacts in the present strike. Large sections of the workers, though in support of the strike demands, have no confidence in the Stalinist leadership, which only The British "Daily Worker",

(December 8, 1947), in big head-lines state: "Battle is For Food, Not For Power." Under this follows the report of an interview with Benoit Frachon, joint secretary of the C.G.T. in which Frachon states clearly that the demands: "One of the Government's unscrupulous moves has been to claim that this strike was not organised to win economic This persistent policy of the demands but as part of an insur-leadership of the Socialist Party rection." That which they should

tion for which they were not in the least prepared. They have split the workers by using the "Socialists" against the "Communists". While the Stalinist leadership talks only of economic concessions, the capitalists make counter-proposals and Stalinism has played the most gain a respite and prepare to crush if it so desired.

De Gaulle Stands By

The capitalists have kept de Gaulle and his reactionary bands in the background. They understood that to introduce de Gaulie on the scene at this moment would have pushed the socialist and nonparty workers and trade unionists hausted the energy of the workers onto the side of the strikers, making a complete general strike and an uprising on the part of the workers inevitable. Such an uprising would, because of the lack of control over its own state apparatus, police and army, have all the objecserious journals of the capitalist class were actually calculating the military and social forces at their disposal.

> The Schuman government, like that of Bruning in Germany, with its anti-working class economic and political decrees, is merely a transitional one, for French capitalism and its Wall Street masters. is a step towards handing over the

Capitalists Bide Their Time

Having learned nothing from the strategy of the capitalists in Germany and Italy the Stalinists and reformists repeat the suicidal of the workers' organisation in strike- the police are actually on strike, and those countries. Far from avoidcapitalists would never have the police and army code with its ensure that the time and conditions class brothers.

sive against the masses. That is would carry out the decision. The during the October Revolution and the revolution was isolated in one the meaning of the visit of J. F. fact that they withdrew their Dulles, Adviser to Marshall the undertaking, given to the Minister American Secretary of State. Gaulle for his coming to power in the next period.

Middle Class Move Right

The failure of the working-class organisations to show a way out of the intolerable situation in France has driven the middle class into the camp of reaction. Ruined by French capitalism. rendered desperate by the conditions under the Fourth Republic, the middle classes look to de Gaulle as the saviour who will bring order out of chaos. The constant reiteration by the Stalinists and the reformists that they want the maintenance of No deliberate and conscious | the present "democratic" Republic Gaulle. Whatever the middle class believe they want, they do not want

Period Of Struggle

The capitalists prefer a peaceful transition to de Gaullism, and the destruction of the workers' organisations as in Italy and Germany. They calculate that the disillusionment arising out of the Stalinist and reformist policies will help them to break the back of the C.G.T., which will be so demoralised and worn out by the last struggle as to be unable to offer any resistance. But they are mistaken. The workers will fight. Far from entering a period of class peace based upon the results of the recent strikes; even if followed by temporary reaction, the massive strike wave is the first round in a new period of intensified class struggles.

The P.C.I. Shows Revolutionary Road

The Parti Communiste Internationaliste (French Trotskyists) offers the workers the road towards the revolutionary overthrow of capitalism and the establishment of a workers' and peasants' Govern- the workers, pending an inquiry, ment. the forefront of the strike inquiry. In other words, the rule struggles, seeking to direct the of the status quo must apply to the workers to compel the official employers no less than it applies to leaders of the mass organisations brought before the workers the real question of political power, posed in these struggles: a Government of big business, or a Workers' and questions within 21 days, six Peasants' Government.

The success or failure of the French working class in these struggles is of immediate importrace to the British workers. The victory of capitalist reaction would strengthen the reactionary elements in Britain, it would be a set-back for the whole international workingclass. The victory for the French workers would be a victory for the of representatives of the working whole international working-class and a set-back to capitalist reaction.

Dulles is vetting and priming de accept any recommendation from the Court, taking into account all their earlier actions, indicates that they were prepared to keep Piazza out even if the decision of the Industrial Court was against them.

The Industrial Court established that in a recent judgment given by the High Court in the so-called 'Crowther case," "the National Arbitration had no power . . . to direct the reinstatement of a workman in any circumstances," and further: "Since the High Court judgment . . . it is no longer possible for any Tribunal to direct that the Savoy shall reinstate Mr. Piazza . . ." (our emphasis). The scandalous discrimination of the legal provisions of this Order in favour of the employers is shown clearly in the Report. The effects Stalin increased his own power and of the Crowther Case are such that prestige within the machine. As the Industrial Court was forced to yet, he remained almost unknown draw the attention of the Govern- to the great masses outside. ment to this fact :

At the conclusion of their Report they say: "It must be left to be determined as a question of Government policy whether it is proper to leave disputes involving But already it was too late. questions of the reinstatement, Stalin's grip on the machine was questions which can arouse the bitterest feelings, and uncovered by machinery for compulsory arbitration.

The farcical situation was such that after going through all the stages of negotiation and arbitration, by law the workers had to give 21 days' notice of strike action. Meanwhile, the Savoy were making fight against the usurper, and even every preparation for the strike. Every inch of available space was alleged to have been filled with additional stocks: additional staff, the strikers claim, were brought into the hotels. Scabs were being contacted and strike-breaking connections established.

The reactionary class character of the arbitration laws are clearly exposed in this case. The Trade Unions must insist that legislation be introduced preventing any employer from dismissing a worker or suspending him where there is allegation of victimisation made by This party has been in and until the conclusion of such the workers before a final decision to organise and call a General is arrived at. At the same time, Strike. Only the P.C.I. has an end must be made to arbitration procedure which drags on month after month. Compulsory decisions weeks as a maximum time to arrive at decisions on major questions must be imposed.

An end must be made to the socalled 'impartial' courts which are in reality heavily weighted from a class point of view in favour of have cost only £45 million per year, the employers. Since the workers which is little indeed when com-are the overwhelming majority of pared with the "relief" of £500 When the Labour "leaders the population, a decisive majority in any industrial court must consist class.

Less Than One Per Cent

with Zinoview and Kamenev, he formed the "Troika", the trium-virate who took over the direction of the Bolshevik Party.

three-fourths of the members of the Communist Party, consisted of those who had joined after 1917.

"The number of Party members with a pre-revolution record -that is, revolutionists of the underground-became less than one per cent.

By packing the Party organisation with his closest supporters,

Lenin Sounds Alarm

From his sick bed, Lenin sounded the alarm against the growing objectivity. In his own words: bureaucratisation within the Party. too secure for a dying Lenin to dislodge. The Twelfth Congress of the Party, which met in April, 1923, and where Stalin dealt a resounding blow at his fellow 'triumvir', Zinoview set him firmly in the saddle. Only a Lenin in full health and vigour, could have waged anything like a successful a Lenin, with all his prestige and unrivalled influence might have gone down before the forces of history. Without the revolution breaking out of its isolation by the against the bureaucracy. Stalin Bolshevism.

his record during the Civil War. country. Their aim was to consolidate their rule over the masses and to guarantee their own social The background of Stalin's rise position. For the moment, the to power has been dealt with in counter-revolution in the person of numerous articles and books of Stalin was triumphant. But the Trotsky. He first emerged out of struggle did not cease with the the shadows when Lenin was lying death of Trotsky. His ideas, the on his deathbed in 1923. Together ideas of communism and world revolution, live on in the Fourth International. Stalin enjoys his brief moment of triumph. future belongs to Trotskyism, the Trotsky points out that by 1923, continuation of Lenin's ideas of world Socialist revolution.

Sordid Subject — Brilliantly Written

Trotsky's biography deals with a ordid subject but it is enlivened by the author's brilliant writing and it contains invaluable material—some of it available for the first time in English-on the history of the Bolshevik Party, the October Revolution, the Civil War and the building of the Red Army.

Despite his exceptionally close personal part in the struggle against Stalin, Trotsky succeeds maintaining a remarkable

. . During the more than 10 years of my present exile the Kremlin's literary agents have systematically relieved themselves of the need to answer pertinently anything I write about the U.S.S.R. by conveniently alluding to my hatred of Stalin. The late Freud regarded this cheap sort of psychoanalysis most disapprovingly. . . . In hatred there is an element of envy. Yet to me, in mind and feeling, Stalin's unprecedented elevation represents the very deepest fall. . .

Trotsky remained to the end, faithful to the ideas of Marx's conquest of power by the workers international communism. Stalin in some other major capitalist betraved these ideas, and his country, Lenin too, would have elevation represented his deepest been defeated in his struggle fall from the great principles of

COAL OUTPUT UP

(Continued from Page 2.)

an extent that labour would readily be attracted and thus overcome the problem of man-power and of technical re-equipment. This would require an end to the Labour Government's policy of defence of the employers' interests and the development of a plan based on the needs of the working class.

£6 Minimum "Too Costly"

to the employers.

Every class conscious worker in Britain must solidarise himself with the struggles of his French class brothers.

Published by E. Grant, munitions a year; so long as then the private capitalists control the class brothers.

Printed in Gt. Britain by C. A. Brock & Co. Ltd., (T.U.), W.10

Workers product 2500 minions in the munitions a year; so long as then the private capitalists control the difference control and the private capitalists control the munition factories, the production class." engineering industry as well as the will be used in the interests of their

of mining machinery becomes an impossibility. Praise Is Not Enough

It is not good enough merely to

laud the miners' efforts at the present time and hope for even greater sacrifice. There workers have shown that they are vitally interested in the question of coalgetting, and that all the recent campaign against them is a pack of lies. The working class move-Prior to the recent wage nego- ment, side by side with the miners, tiations, the Government argued must struggle to sweep away the that they could not afford the £6 capitalist rubbish on the N.C.B. minimum wage (and they still have and for a genuine policy of

When the Labour "leaders" say million a year from E.P.'P. granted to the miners "Give us the goods," the reply must be simple : "Take And, so long as the imperialist the working class and the miners interests make nearly half a million into your confidence, let them conworkers produce £900 millions in trol the efforts of their labourmunitions a year; so long as then the workers will readily make private capitalists control the the greatest sacrifices, knowing they